

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
COMPANY,

Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

Chapter 11

**SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
CLAIM BASED IN PART UPON  
INVESTMENT IN THE CASTAIC  
PARTNERS II LOAN**

**Date of Hearing: September 30, 2011  
Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.  
Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.**

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an order disallowing in part the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon investments in multiple loans, including an investment in a loan (the “Castaic Partners II Loan”) to Castaic Partners II, LLC (the “Borrower”). This Objection is supported by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Castaic Partners II Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

**I. BACKGROUND FACTS**

**a. The USACM Bankruptcy**

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the Castaic Partners II Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

1 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
2 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
3 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP  
4 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
5 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
6 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
7 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
8 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

9 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
10 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset  
11 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset  
12 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase  
13 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of  
14 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is  
15 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-  
16 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>1</sup> By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert  
17 C. Jones in the Asset Resolution Case, the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to  
18 Cross, FLS. The Castaic Partners II Loan, however, was not among the loans whose  
19 servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS. Instead, Debt Acquisition Company of  
20 America (“DACA”) purchased the Direct Lender’s interests in the Castaic II Loan in  
21 exchange for a small cash payment and a right to share in any potential profits upon  
22 DACA’s development of the property.

23  
24  
25 <sup>1</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
26 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
Castaic Partners II SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district court litigation and the Asset Resolution bankruptcy case, but has received limited information concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust's legal counsel has also contacted Howard Justice at DACA about the Castaic Partners II Loan. The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Castaic Partners II Loan.

**b. The Castaic Partners II Loan**

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Castaic Partners II, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$5,600,000. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on approximately 300 acres of property in the hillside of Castaic, in Los Angeles County, California. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The Offer Sheet further provides a loan to value percentage of 36%, based on a March 2005 sale agreement with Toll Brothers." (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The Offer sheet stated that an appraisal was in process.<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to the Loan Agreement, the loan was intended to be used to acquire the subject property.

On July 11, 2005, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust" (the "Note") and a Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a loan of \$5,600,000. The Note was secured by a "Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing" from the Borrower in favor

<sup>2</sup> An appraisal was subsequently obtained on November 29, 2005, which value the hypothetical "paper lots" market value at \$28,800,000.

1 of the Direct Lenders that was recorded in the official records of Los Angeles County,  
2 California on July 26, 2005 at Instrument Number 2005-1761534. The Note was also  
3 supported by a Guaranty executed by William Barkett on July 11, 2005.

4 The USACM "Loan Summary" dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows  
5 that Borrower was "Performing" on the Note as of July 31, 2006, due to the fact that  
6 sufficient cash was being held by the Disbursing Agent to pay the outstanding interest due.  
7 Pursuant to the February 28, 2007 "Loan Summary" filed in this case, the loan was in  
8 interest default as of February 2007. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) During this bankruptcy case  
9 through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with  
10 respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court's orders.

11 Counsel for the Trustee had a brief conversation with Howard Justice at DACA.  
12 According to Mr. Justice, DACA purchased the Direct Lenders' interests in the Castaic II  
13 Loan, as well as the related Castaic III Loan. Direct Lenders also received a right to share  
14 in any profits upon development and sale of the property. The Direct Lenders apparently  
15 did not sell their right to bring a proof of claim against the USACM estate. According to  
16 Mr. Justice, DACA has advanced \$250,000 toward accrued property taxes on the  
17 underlying collateral. DACA has yet to foreclose on the property. DACA is also  
18 attempting to negotiate down the unpaid property taxes that have accrued on the property.

### 19 c. The Castaic Partners II Claims

20 **Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be  
21 based upon an investment in the Castaic Partners II Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A**  
22 identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total  
23 amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an  
24 investment in the Castaic Partners II Loan based upon the information provided by the  
25 claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to  
26 hereafter as the "Castaic Partners II Claims." As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of

the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

## II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

## III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff’d*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

## IV. THE OBJECTION

The Castaic Partners II Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length transaction with a third party borrower. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

USACM is not liable for the Borrower’s default or any decrease in the value of the collateral.

1 The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have  
2 breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Castaic Partners II  
3 Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Castaic  
4 Partners II Loan or take any other action.

5 The Direct Lenders sold their interests in the Castaic Partners II Loan and Deed of  
6 Trust. Thus, the Trust does not believe the Direct Lenders' have a right to make a claim  
7 against the USACM estate based upon their investment in that loan.

8 **V. CONCLUSION**

9 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against  
10 USACM listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the  
11 Castaic Partners II Loan. To the extent a claim is based upon an investment in a loan other  
12 than the Castaic Partners II Loan, the claim will not be affected by this objection. The  
13 USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

14 Dated: August 6, 2011

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

16 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)

17 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593

18 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

21 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
22 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
23 August 6, 2011 to all parties listed on  
Exhibit A attached.

24 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

25  
26 s/ Renee L. Creswell  
Renee L. Creswell